

Article

Stereoselectivity Control by Oxaspiro Rings during Diels–Alder Cycloadditions to Cross-Conjugated Cyclohexadienones: The Syn Oxygen Phenomenon

Katsuo Ohkata, Yukiko Tamura, Brandon B. Shetuni, Ryukichi Takagi, Wataru Miyanaga, Satoshi Kojima, and Leo A. Paquette

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126 (51), 16783-16792• DOI: 10.1021/ja047027t • Publication Date (Web): 03 December 2004

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on April 5, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 1 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Stereoselectivity Control by Oxaspiro Rings during Diels-Alder Cycloadditions to Cross-Conjugated Cyclohexadienones: The *Syn* Oxygen Phenomenon

Katsuo Ohkata,^{*,†} Yukiko Tamura,[†] Brandon B. Shetuni,[‡] Ryukichi Takagi,[†] Wataru Miyanaga,[†] Satoshi Kojima,[†] and Leo A. Paquette^{*,‡}

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University, 1-3-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan and The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

Received May 20, 2004; E-mail: paquette.1@osu.edu

Abstract: The diastereofacial selectivity operating in Diels–Alder additions involving spirocyclic crossconjugated cyclohexadienones with dienes of varying reactivity has been investigated. The study has included the ether series **1a**–**c** as well as the lactone/ketone pair **2a/2b**. In all cases, the preferred [4+2] cycloaddition pathway consisted of bonding from that π -surface syn to the oxygen atom. 4-Substituted-4methyl-2,5-cyclohexadienones (monocyclic systems) were also examined and found to undergo bond formation preferentially from the face bearing the more electron-withdrawing of the two groups at the 4 position. Kinetic parameters were determined for the cycloaddition of **1a** and **2a** to cyclopentadiene. The rate acceleration profile of solvents was in the order CF₃CH₂OH \gg CH₃CN \sim CH₂Cl₂ for the production of **9a** from **1a** and CF₃CH₂OH \gg CH₂Cl₂ > CH₃CN for the production of **21a** from **2a**, respectively. This spread in polarity had no major impact on product distribution, a phenomenon also reflected in the behavior of 4-substituted-4-methyl-2,5-cyclohexadienones under comparable conditions. Theoretical assessment of these experimental facts was undertaken at the HF/6-31G* level. The facial selectivity is understandable in terms of the secondary interaction between the newly forming bond and the 4-*anti*-C–C σ -orbital due to the more electron-donating bond, as defined by the Cieplak model.

The capacity for generating four contiguous stereogenic centers in a single laboratory operation is obviously responsible for the widespread interest in the synthetic utility of the Diels– Alder reaction.¹ The stereochemical features of such cycloadditions have more recently been recognized to be capable of modulation by a neighboring heteroatomic substituent. Desymmetrization in this manner with continued operation of high diastereoselectivity offers additional stereochemical advantages.² Previous studies involving functionalized facially unsymmetric semicyclic dienes,³ cyclopentadienes,⁴ and 1,3-cyclohexadienes⁵ have given evidence that high facial selectivity can be attained during [4+2] cycloaddition to symmetric dienophiles. Relatively rigid cyclic dienophiles have been the least studied.⁶

In select cases, steric effects control selectivity.⁷ When structural inequities are brought more into balance, increasingly subtle effects gain prominence. Under these circumstances, the

observed facial selectivities have been accounted for in terms of hyperconjugative,⁸ electrostatic,⁹ torsional,¹⁰ and orbital interactions.^{11–15} A rationale consistent with all of the experimental observations continues to be sought.

Our own interest in this area stems from two divergent directions. The Ohio State group has had a long-standing interest in the chemistry of spirotetrahydrofurans,¹⁶ and ultimately became interested in the stereochemical issues surrounding the participation of cyclohexadienone **1a** in cycloadditions to appropriately reactive dienes.¹⁷ Pursuit by the Hiroshima team of a total synthesis of scyphostatin necessitated that appreciation be gained of the π -facial selectivity capable of being exhibited by **2a**.¹⁸ These preliminary considerations have since been

[†] Hiroshima University.

[‡] The Ohio State University.

 ⁽a) Oppolzer, W. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Vol. 5; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Paquette, L. A., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; pp 315–399.
 (b) Carruthers, W. Cycloaddition Reactions in Organic Synthesis; Pergamon: Oxford, 1990.
 (c) Fringuelli, F.; Taticchi, A. The Diels-Alder Reaction-Selected Practical Methods; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 2002.

 ^{(2) (}a) Fallis, A. G.; Lu, Y. F. In Advances in Cycloaddition; Curran, D. P., Ed.; JAI Press Inc.: Greenwich, Ct 1993; Vol.1; pp 1–66. (b) Ohwada, T. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1337. (c) Mehta G.; Uma, R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 278.

⁽³⁾ Exemplary functionalized semi-cyclic dienes; (a) Fisher, M. J.; Hehre, W. J.; Kahn, S. D.; Overman, L. E. J. Am. Chem Soc. 1988, 110, 4625. (b) Datta, S. C.; Franck, R. W.; Tripathy, R.; Quigley, G. J.; Huang, L.; Chen, S.; Sihaed, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8472. (c) Kawamata, T.; Harimaya, K.; Iitaka, Y.; Inayama, S. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1989, 37, 2307. (d) Giuliano, R. M.; Buzby, J. H.; Marcopulos, N.; Springer, J. P. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3555. (e) Burnouf, C.; Lopez, J. C.; Calvo-Flores, F. G.; Laborde, M. A.; Olesker, A.; Lukacs, G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 823. (f) Grabley, S.; Kluge, H.; Hoppe, H.-U. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 664.
(d) Eventory for the semicover and the semicover for the semicover for

⁽⁴⁾ Exemplary functionalized cyclopentadienes; (a) Breslow, R.; Hoffman, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 2110. (b) Franck-Neumann, M.; Sedrati, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 1391. (c) Corey, E. J.; Weinshenker, N. M.; Schaaf, T. K.; Huber, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 5675. (d) Paquette, L. A.; Vanucci, C.; Rogers, R. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 5792. (e) Ishida, M.; Beniya, Y.; Inagaki, S.; Kato, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8980.

expanded to include spirooxetane 1b and spirooxirane 1c as well as the spirocyclic diketone 2b. The discoveries made in the context of these investigations have been amalgamated in the present joint contribution.



The series 1a-c was probed in the expectation that alterations in the size of the heterocyclic ring would be accompanied by changes in the basicity of the ethereal oxygen center.¹⁹ Would a ground-state parameter of this nature exercise any influence on kinetic selectivity? Do the steric changes that accompany spiro ring contraction facilitate attack from the same or opposite face? And what can be expected of differences in hyperconju-

- (5) Exemplary functionalized 1,3-cyclohexadienes; (a) Auksi, H.; Yates, P. Can. J. Chem. 1981, 59, 2510. (b) Holmberg, K.; Kirudd, H.; Westin, G. Acta Chem, Scand., Ser. B 1974, 28, 913. (c) Gillard, J. R.; Burnell, D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1439. (e) Gillard, J. R.; Newlands, M. J.; Bridson, J. N.; Burnell, D. J. Can. J. Chem. 1991, 69, 1337
- (6) (a) Liotta, D. X., Bulleti, D. J. Can. J. Chem. 1991, 05, 1537.
 (6) (a) Liotta, D.; Saindane, M.; Barnum, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3224. (b) Trân-Huu-Dân, M.-E.; Wartchow, R.; Winterfeldt, E.; Wong, Y.-S. Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 2349. (c) Jeroncic, L. O.; Cabal, M.-O.; Danishefsky, S. J.; Shulte, G. M. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 387. (d) Dauben, D. C. M. J. Chem. 2005 (c) 100 (c W. G.; Kowalczyk, B. A.; Lichtenthaler, F. W. J. Org. Chem. **1990**, 55, 2391. (e) Goldenstein, K.; Fendert, T.; Proksch, P.; Winterfeldt, E. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, 56, 4173. (f) Winterfeldt, E.; Böhm, C.; Nerenz, F. Advances in Asymmetric Synthesis; JAI Press Inc.: Greenwich, CT 1997; Vol 2, p 1.
- (7) (a) Paquette, L. A. In Asymmetric Synthesis; Morrison, J. D. Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1984; Vol.3, and references therein. (b) Silvero, G.; Lucero, M. J.; Winterfeldt, E.; Houk, K. N. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 7293.
- (a) Macaulay, J. B.; Fallis, A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 1136.
 (b) Coxon, J. M.; McDonald, D. Q. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 651.
 (c) Poirier, R. A.; Pye, C. C.; Xidos, J. D.; Burnell, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 2328.
- (9) (a) Kahn, S. D.; Hehre, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 663. (b) (a) Kahn, S. D.; Hehre, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 663. (b)
 Paquette, L. A.; Branan, B. M.; Rogers, R. D.; Bond, A. H.; Lange, H.;
 Gleiter, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 5992. (c) Wipf, P.; Kim, Y. J.
 Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 11678. (d) Wipf, P.; Jung, J.-K. Chem. Rev.
 1999, 99, 1469. (e) Wipf, P.; Kim, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1649. (f)
 Solomon, M.; Jamison, W. C. L.; McCormick, M.; Liotta, D.; Cherry, D.
 A.; Mills, J. E.; Shah, R. D.; Rodgers, J. D.; Maryanoff, C. A. J. Am. Chem.
 Soc. 1998, 110, 2702. (c) Swipe, K. A.; Hinkely, W.; Maryanoff, C. A. A., Mins, J. E., Shai, K. D., Kougels, J. D., Maryahon, C. A., Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3702. (g) Swiss, K. A.; Hinkely, W.; Maryanoff, C. A.; Liotta, D. C. Synthesis 1992, 127.
 (10) (a) Brown, F. K.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1971. (b) Houk, K. N.; Gonzolez, J.; Li, Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 1995, 28, 81.
- (11) (a) Inagaki, S.; Fukui, K. Chem. Lett. 1974, 509. (b) Inagaki, S.; Fujimoto, H.; Fukui, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 4054.
- (12) (a) Ishida, M.; Kobayashi, H.; Tomohiro, S.; Inagaki, S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 2000, 1625. (b) Ishida, M.; Beniya, Y.; Inagaki, S.; Kato, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8980. (c) Ishida, M.; Aoyama, T.; Beniya, (d) Ishida, M.; Tomohiro, S.; Shimizu, M.; Ingaki, S. *Chem. Lett.* 1995, 66, 3430. 739. (e) Ishida, M.; Kobayashi, H.; Tomohiro, S.; Wasada, H.; Inagaki, S. Chem. Lett. 1998, 41
- (13) Mazzocchi, P. H.; Stahly, B.; Dodd, J.; Rondan, N. G.; Domelsmith, L. N.; Rozeboom, M. D.; Caramella, P.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102. 6482.
- (14) Paquette, L. A.; Bellamy, F.; Wells, G. J.; Böhm, M. C.; Gleiter, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 7122.
- (15) (a) Gleiter, R.; Paquette, L. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 1983, 16, 328. (b) Böhm, M. C.; Eiter, R. G. Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 3209. (c) Böhm, M. C.; Carr, R. V. C.; Gleiter, R.; Paquette, L. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1980**, 102, 7218. (d) Paquette, L. A.; Carr, R. V. C.; Böhm, M. C.; Gleiter, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1980**, 102, 1186.
- (16) (a) Paquette, L. A. In Chemistry for the 21st Century, Keinan, E., Schechter, (c) representation of the second s
- (17) Paquette, L. A.; Shetuni, B. B.; Gallucci, J. C. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2639.
- (1) (a) Takagi, R.; Miyanaga, W.; Tamura, Y.; Ohkata, K. *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 2096. (b) Takagi, R.; Miyanaga, W.; Tamura, Y.; Kojima, S.; Ohkata, K. Heterocycles 2003, 60, 785. (c) Takagi, R.; Tsuyumine, S.; Nishitani,
- H.; Miyanaga, W.; Ohkata, K. Aust. J. Chem. 2004, 57, 439.
 (19) Bordeje, M. C.; Mo, O.; Yanez, M.; Herreros, M.; Abboud, J.-L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 7389.

16784 J. AM. CHEM. SOC. UOL. 126, NO. 51, 2004



gation? The chemical nuances separating 2a from 2b are more disparate. Are the prospects for recognizing an electrostatic control mechanism thereby enhanced? These cross-conjugated dienones appeared well suited for critical analysis of the source of stereocontrol in their Diels-Alder reactions.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis. Substrates 1a and 2a were prepared by oxidative cyclization of 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propanol (3a) and its carboxylic acid derivative **3b** with iodobenzene diacetate.²⁰ The rather modest yield associated with the production of 1a via this protocol prompted alternate advance on this dienone by way of the pathway given in Scheme 1. Addition of the Normant reagent to 4



followed by monotosylation was met with spontaneous cyclization to give $6^{21,22}$ Introduction of the pair of double bonds were achieved by 2-fold bromination-dehydrobromination.²³ Following arrival at 7, the acetal functionality was hydrolyzed in dilute acid to unmask the keto group.

The preparation of **1b** was accomplished by irradiation of benzene solutions of p-benzoquinone with a 125 W mediumpressure mercury arc with concomitant bubbling of ethylene through the medium.²⁴ 1-Oxaspiro[2.5]octa-4,7-dien-6-one (1c) was formed by the oxidation of 8 with sodium bismuthate.²⁵ Diketone 2b was conveniently available by several routes reported earlier.26



- (20) (a) McKillop, A.; McLaren, L.; Taylor, R. J. K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 2047. (b) Pelter, A.; Elgendy, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 677. (c) Tamura Y.; Yakura, T.; Haruta, J.; Kita, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1987 52 3927
- (21) Cahiez, G.; Alexakis, A.; Normant, J. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 19, 3013.

Table 1. Stereoselectivities Determined for Diels-Alder Reactions Involving 1a-c and CP or SH

run no.	dienone	solvent	reaction conditions	9 : 10 ^a	yield, % ^b				
A. cyclopentadiene (CP):									
1	1a	CH ₂ Cl ₂	25 °C, 7 days	97:3	61				
2	1a	CH ₃ CN	25 °C, 7 days	94:6	46				
3	1a	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	25 °C, 1 day	94:6	86				
4	1a	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	36 °C, 6 h	92:8	90				
5	1b	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	36 °C, 6 h	86:14	96				
6	1b	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	36 °C, 8 h	85:15	95				
7	1c	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	36 °C, 13 h	81:19	82				
8	1c	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	36 °C, 15 h	83:17	86				
B. spiro[2,4]hepta-4,6-diene (SH):									
9	1a	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	55 °C, 15 h	77:23	86				
10	1b	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	55 °C, 18 h	67:33	83				
11	1b	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	55 °C, 21 h	64:36	84				
12	1c	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	55 °C, 11 h	65:35	67				
13	1c	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	55 °C, 17 h	66:34	59				

^a The ratios were determined by integration of the ¹H NMR spectra of unpurified field fragments. ^b Isolated yields after chromatographic purification.

Cycloaddition of Cyclopentadiene (CP) and Spiro[2.4]hepta-4,6-diene (SH) to 1a-c. The first candidate reactions involved CP and its somewhat more sterically shielded homologue SH.²⁷ These studies, which were initially performed in the absence of Lewis acid catalysis to simplify mechanistic considerations, are summarized in Table 1.

As for CP, endo adduct 9 and/or 10 can result in each example depending on the level and direction of π -facial selection that is operative. These reactions were found to proceed very slowly in CH₂Cl₂ and CH₃CN at room temperature or in toluene at 90 °C. For this reason, a switch was made to the more polar trifluoroethanol as solvent. As seen for runs 1-4, diastereoselectivity was not hardly eroded in this medium even as the temperature was increased to 36 °C.

Further use was made of the kinetic acceleration realized with trifluoroethanol in the Diels-Alder reactions involving SH as the diene. This less reactive 4π donor, like **CP**, gives rise to chromatographically separable mixtures of endo adducts 9 and 10, with a very respectable kinetic preference for utilization of the π surface syn to the ethereal oxygen. An interesting point is that the formation of 9, which dominates significantly under all circumstances (runs 1-8) involving reaction with **CP**, is met with a drop-off in its relative percentage when the slower reacting SH is involved.



The relative configuration of adducts 9 and 10 was in all instances assigned on the bases of ¹H NMR NOE experiments (Supporting Information). These compounds serve as representative examples of the characteristic long-range ¹H/¹H interactions that are featured. The consistent adoption of endo transition states was further reflected in those coupling constants involving the bridgehead protons (J = 3.4 - 4.3 Hz).

Response of 1a-c to Other Dienes. Our interest in examining 11^{28} arises from its rigid conformational features, which lock the exocyclic double bonds into the reactive cisoid geometry. When 11 was heated with 1a-c in refluxing toluene for several hours, a sole product was isolated in all three examples. Definition of these adducts as the result of exclusive syn capture as in 12 was validated by X-ray crystallographic analysis of 12a and detailed NOESY studies involving 12b and 12c.17



The next candidate diene was the sterically more demanding diphenylisobenzofuran 13.29 Heating this co-reactant with 1a and 1b in benzene afforded uniquely the adducts 14a and 14b, respectively. The relative configuration of these products was assigned on the basis of NOESY data for 14a and X-ray crystallographic data for 14b (Supporting Information). When the same experiment was performed with 1c, adducts 14c and 15 were isolated in the proportion of 78:22. In this example, the minor constituent of the product mixture was subjected to three-dimensional crystallographic analysis.



Heating 16 in diglyme is recognized to allow for the in situ generation of isobenzofuran (17).³⁰ When this process was brought about in the presence of 1a, three adducts 18-20 were formed. These proved to be amenable to chromatographic separation and independent characterization. The two less polar bridged ethers 18 and 19 were readily identified as the end products of endo addition, since both exhibited J values for interaction of the bridgehead and fused-ring protons of the

^{(22) (}a) Grée, D.; Grée, R.; Lowinger, T. B.; Martelli, J.; Negri, J. T.; Paquette, L. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 8841. (b) Paquette, L. A.; Negri, J. T.; Rogers, R. D. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 3947.

 ⁽²³⁾ Garbisch, E. W., Jr. J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 2109.
 (24) Bryce-Smith, D.; Evans, E. H.; Gilbert, A.; McNeill, H. S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1991, 1587.

⁽²⁵⁾ Adler, E.; Holmberg, K.; Ryrfors, L.-O. Acta Chem. Scand. 1974, 88, 883.

^{(26) (}a) Fivush A. M.; Strunk, S. R. Synth. Commun. 1996, 26, 1623. (b) Swenton, J. S.; Callinan, A.; Wang, S. J. Org. Chem, 1992, 57, 78. (c) Swenton, J. S.; Bradin, D.; Gates, B. D. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 6156. (d) Iwata, C.; Yamada, M.; Ida, Y.; Imao, T.; Miyagawa, H.; Miyashita, K. Chem. Pharm. Bull. **1988**, *36*, 2864. (e) Iwata, C.; Miyashita, K.; Imao, Cnem. Pharm. Bull. 1988, 36, 2864. (e) Iwata, C.; Miyashita, K.; Imao, T.; Matsuda, K.; Kondo, N.; Uchida, S. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1985, 33, 853.
 (f) Iwata, C.; Yamada, M.; Shinoo, Y.; Kobayashi, K.; Okada, H. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1980, 28, 1932. (g) Iwata, C.; Yamada, M.; Shinoo, Y.; Kobayashi, K.; Okada, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1977, 888.
 (27) Wilcox, C. F.; Craig, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 3866.
 (28) (a) Steinmetz, M. G.; Sequin, K. J.; Udayakumar, B. S.; Behnke, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Genus, Sc. 1000, 112, 2601. (b) Papnett M. A.; Belinke, J. S. J.

Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6601. (b) Bennett, M. A.; Pelling, S.; Robertson, G. B.; Wickramasinghe, W. A. Organometallics 1991, 10, 2166. (c) Shahlai, K.; Hart, H.; Bashir-Hashemi, A. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 6905. (d) Shahlai, K.; Hart, H.; Bashir-Hashemi, A. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 6912.
(29) Newman, M. S. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 2630.
(30) Fieser, L. F.; Haddain, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 2081.

Table 2. Stereoselectivities Determined for Diels-Alder Reactions Involving ${\bf 2a}$ and ${\bf 2b}$

run no.	diene	solvent	reaction conditions	21 : 22 ^a	yield, % ^b			
A. spirolactone 2a:								
14	СР	CH ₂ Cl ₂	35 °C, 3 days	96:4	99			
15	СР	CH ₃ CN	35 °C, 3 days	91:9	72			
16	CP	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	37 °C, 4 h	96:4	87			
B. spiroketone 2b:								
17	CP	CH ₂ Cl ₂	25 °C, 7 days	66:34	7			
18	CP	CH ₃ CN	25 °C, 7 days	61:39	7			
19	СР	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	25 °C, 7 days	60:40	82			

 a The ratios were determined by integration of the $^1{\rm H}$ NMR spectra of unpurified field fragments. b Isolated yields after chromatographic purification.

proper magnitude (5.9, 4.8 Hz).³¹ The coupling constant between the pair of fused-ring protons is 9.0 Hz. In contrast, no coupling between the fused-ring and bridgehead protons is seen in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **20**, thereby defining it as an *exo* adduct. The relative configuration of the spirotetrahydrofuran unit in **18** and **20** was established as before by X-ray and NOESY methods, respectively. ¹⁷



 π -Facial Selectivity Characteristics Exhibited by 2a and 2b. The spiro lactone system 2a was found to exhibit appreciable diastereoselectivity upon reaction with CP (Table 2). The levels of selectivity favoring 21a exceeded the 90% level irrespective of the reaction medium (runs 14–16). In contrast, the [4+2] cycloaddition of CP to spiro ketone 2b occurs with the lowest level of discrimination (60:40) observed thus far in this investigation (runs 17–19). The facial selectivity involving 2b



is not generated by the presence of an ethereal-type oxygen atom positioned homoconjugatively to the dienone part structure. Greater spatial separation between the reaction centers is also at play. Detailed NOE data were secured for **21b** and **22b**. These measurements compared remarkably closely with the long-range interactions observed for **21a** and **22a** (Supporting Information).

The highly crystalline nature of **21a** provided the opportunity to derive added structural proof by X-ray methods (Supporting

Table 3. Pseudo-First-Order Rate Constants (k_{obs}) and Second-Order Rate Constants (k_2) for the Production of **9a** in the Diels–Alder Reaction of **1a** with **CP**

solvent	T ^a	10 ² [subst] ^b	[CP] ^b	$10^6 k_{obs}^c$	10 ⁶ k ₂ ^d
CH ₂ Cl ₂	37.2	5.26	2.63	9.83	3.75
CH ₂ Cl ₂	37.2	7.21	3.61	1.22×10	3.38
CH ₂ Cl ₂	25	7.83	4.03	7.73	1.92
CH ₂ Cl ₂	20	7.11	3.56	5.73	1.61
CH ₃ CN	37.2	6.71	3.36	2.38×10	7.08
CH ₃ CN	37.2	8.18	4.12	2.33×10	5.66
CH ₃ CN	30	7.93	4.02	1.03×10	2.57
CH ₃ CN	20	8.32	3.80	5.80	1.53
CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	11	5.65	1.13	2.11×10^2	1.86×10^2
CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	0	4.68	1.41	1.07×10^{2}	7.56×10
CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	-10	6.06	1.82	4.54×10	2.49×10
CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	-15	4.41	1.42	2.37×10	1.67×10

^a °C. ^b bmol/L. ^c 1/s. ^d l/mol•s.

Table 4. Pseudo-First-Order Rate Constants (k_{obs}) and Second-Order Rate Constants (k_2) for the Production of **21a** in the Diels–Alder Reaction of **2a** with **CP**

solvent	T ^a	10 ² [subst] ^b	[CP] ^b	$10^6 k_{\rm obs}^{\ c}$	10 ⁶ k ₂ ^d
CH ₂ Cl ₂	43	4.21	0.34	2.05×10	6.02×10
CH_2Cl_2	40	4.87	0.97	4.90×10	5.03×10
CH_2Cl_2	34.1	1.95	0.39	1.18×10	3.04×10
CH ₂ Cl ₂	30.2	2.37	0.47	1.36×10	2.87×10
CH ₂ Cl ₂	30.2	6.24	1.25	3.08×10	2.48×10
CH_2Cl_2	10	1.33	2.65	1.40×10	5.28
CH ₃ CN	43	4.19	0.32	7.26	2.24×10
CH ₃ CN	37.2	4.41	0.32	4.13	1.29×10
CH ₃ CN	19	14.6	2.92	1.00×10	3.43
CH ₃ CN	19	8.18	1.64	4.74	2.90
CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	52	0.45	0.03	4.46×10^{2}	1.48×10^{4}
CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	0	2.02	0.23	2.84×10^{2}	1.20×10^{3}
CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	-20	3.67	0.73	6.63×10	9.05×10
CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	-30	3.55	0.71	4.67×10	6.58×10

^a °C. ^b bmol/L. ^c 1/s. ^d l/mol·s.

Information). Therefore, there exists no doubt that **2a** and **2b**, like **1a–c**, possess an inherent structural feature that causes all of these spiro dienones to capture cyclopentadiene with a kinetic preference for that face syn to oxygen.

Kinetic Parameters for the Cycloaddition of 1a and 2a to Cyclopentadiene. To evaluate relative reactivities in different organic solvents (CH₂Cl₂, CH₃CN, CF₃CH₂OH), rate measurements of the Diels–Alder reactions of 1a and 2a with cyclopentadiene were carried out and kinetic parameters were calculated. Substrates 1a and 2a were treated with an excess of cyclopentadiene at a thermostated temperature and the appearance of major products 9a and 21a, respectively, was monitored by HPLC. The pseudo-first-order (k_{obs}) and second-order rate constants (k_2) for these processes are summarized in Tables 3 and 4.

The kinetic parameters in Table 5 were evaluated on the basis of Eyring plots (Figures S1 and S2: Supporting Information). The Gibbs free energies of activation (ΔG^{\ddagger}) followed the order CH₃CN~CH₂Cl₂ \gg CF₃CH₂OH for **1a** and CH₃CN > CH₂Cl₂ \gg CF₃CH₂OH for **2a**, respectively. The activation free energies for **2a** were smaller than those associated with **1a** when compared in the same solvent. As anticipated from the bimolecularity of the Diels–Alder reaction, all of the activation entropies (ΔS^{\ddagger}) were significantly negative. The (ΔS^{\ddagger}) value for the reaction of **1a** in CH₂Cl₂ is particularly noteworthy. The smallest free energy of activation (ΔG^{\ddagger}), which is observed for

⁽³¹⁾ Marchand, A. P. Stereochemical Applications of NMR Studies in Rigid Bicyclic Systems; Verlag Chimie International: Deerfield Beach, FL, 1982.

Table 5. Kinetic Parameters for the Production of 9a and 21a in the Diels-Alder Reactions of 1a and 2a with CP, Respectively

		1a → 9a			2a → 21a		
solvent	$\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{298}{}^{a}$	$\Delta H^{\ddagger a}$	$\Delta \mathcal{S}^{\ddagger b}$	$\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{298}{}^a$	$\Delta H^{\ddagger a}$	$\Delta \mathcal{S}^{\ddagger b}$	
CH ₂ Cl ₂ CH ₂ CN	25.2 ± 1.52 25.2 ± 5.8	7.98 ± 0.8 14.6 ± 2.9	-57.8 ± 2.5 -35.4 ± 9.6	23.9 ± 1.1 24.7 ± 1.7	12.5 ± 0.5 14.1 ± 0.9	-38.5 ± 1.8 -35.5 ± 2.9	
CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	21.8 ± 1.2	13.3 ± 0.6	-28.6 ± 2.1	20.8 ± 2.8	10.3 ± 1.3	-35.0 ± 4.9	

^a kcal/mol. ^b e.u.

the reaction of **2a** in trifluoroethanol, may be attributed to hydrogen bonding at the transition state. In particular, the presence of a carbonyl group in the lactone ring of **2a** may enhance stabilization more effectively than that available to **1a** by hydrogen bonding. This effect would give rise to a small activation enthalpy (ΔH^{\ddagger}) .

Consequences of Lewis Acid Catalysis. Reaction of spiro lactone 2a with CP in the presence of 0.7 equiv of anhydrous zinc chloride in CH₂Cl₂ at 25 °C for 2 days afforded exclusively the endo cycloadduct 21a (>99%) in 96% yield. The sluggishness exhibited by 1,3-cyclohexadiene (CH) and 2,3-dimethylbutadiene (DB) toward spirolactone 2a prompted us to probe the consequences, if any, of Lewis acid catalysis on these [4+2]cycloadditions. As expected, the co-addition of 0.6–0.7 equiv of anhydrous zinc chloride in CH₂Cl₂ led to the consumption of these dienes at room temperature, although at very different rates. For CH, the conversion to 23 and 24 was essentially complete after 5 days. For DB, a comparable level of completion required 5 days. In both examples, the overwhelmingly major diastereomer was that resulting from π -facial stereoselectivity syn to oxygen. This selectivity was opposite that of Liotta's cyclohexadienone 4-tertiary carbinol system in the presence of SnCl₄, which favored facial attack opposite to the oxygen atom.^{6a} These workers rationalized the observed diastereoselectivity under Lewis acid conditions to steric hindrance introduced upon complexation of SnCl₄ to the hydroxyl group. In the present system, ZnCl₂ is a considerably weaker Lewis acid than SnCl₄ and furthermore is generally known to prefer coordination to a carbonyl moiety rather than to an ether group.



The tentative structural assignments to 23 and 25 were validated by means of NOE methods. Finally, the relative



Figure 1. Stereoselectivities observed for the 1,2-addition of CH₃MgBr to 1a, 2a, and 28a. Note that α attack is favored for nucleophilic capture at C-1.

configuration of **23** was established by X-ray crystallographic analysis (Supporting Information). The stereochemistry of **25** was likewise secured by crystallographic examination of its epoxide **27**. The extent to which **2a** adopts the indicated reaction pathway is impressive not only on its own merits, but also because it reflects an enhancement of the stereoselectivity pattern that is operative in the absence of a Lewis acid promoter.

4-Substituted-4-methyl-2,5-cyclohexadienones as Dienophiles. The spatial orientation of the polar substituents in 28a- $c^{20c,32-34}$ can be regarded to be closely similar to the situation in 1 and 2. Methoxy derivative 28a and ester 28c are able to populate orientations of their polar substituent that are not available to the spiro analogues. This somewhat increased steric demand is recognized for 28a



to result in a deceleration of nucleophilic attack. The level of π -facial stereoselection observed for approach of the methyl Grignard reagent (note that π -attack on the opposite side with respect to the oxygen atom substituent is favored here) is also eroded as reflected in Figure 1. To what extent and in what direction will the release of those cyclic constrains embedded in spirocyclic cyclohexadienones impact on dienophilic response?

Table 6 summarizes the results of reacting **28a-c** with **CP** in CH_2Cl_2 as well as trifluoroethanol. As anticipated, all three dienophiles underwent cycloaddition in the more polar solvent after 2 days at room temperature. When CH_2Cl_2 was the reaction medium (25 °C), methoxy ketone **28a** failed to capture **CP** (run

Table 6. Stereoselectivities Determined for [4+2] Cycloaddition of CP to 28a-c

run no.	solvent	reaction conditions	29 : 30 ^a	yield, % ^b					
	A. methoxy ketone 28a:								
20	CH_2Cl_2	25 °C, 3 days		0					
21	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	25 °C, 2 days	91:9	70					
B. cyano ketone 28b:									
22	CH_2Cl_2	25 °C, 3 days	97:3	61					
23	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	25 °C, 2 days	96:4	78					
C. keto ester 28c:									
24	CH_2Cl_2	25 °C, 3 days	100: 0	trace					
25	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	25 °C, 2 days	97:3	100					

^a The ratios were determined by integration of the ¹H NMR spectra of unpurified products. ^bIsolated yields after chromatographic purification.

20) and keto ester **28c** gave rise to only a trace of adduct **29c** (run 24).



The results derived from runs 21-25 reflect a pronounced π -facial bias favoring bonding from the surface syn to X. The relative configurations of 29 and 30 were readily deduced by NOE methods (Supporting Information). Although the Diels-Alder reactions were again accelerated in trifluoroethanol, little or no change in the proportion of 29 to 30 was seen. Dienone 28a proved to be the least selective in its discriminating capability.

Theoretical Assessment of the π -Facial Stereoselectivity. Notwithstanding considerable variation in the substitution pattern, including that of the monocyclic systems 28a-c, no switch away from the adoption of facial selectivity favoring predominant β attack was seen in any example. Therefore, predominant dienophile approach syn to the heteroatomic substituent constitutes a general reactivity trend for 4,4disubstituted cyclohexadienones whether they be spirocyclic or monocyclic. This remarkable kinetic bias operates irrespective of whether an alkoxy, acyloxy, cyano, or carbomethoxy substituent resides at C-4. The downward progression in size from tetrahydrofuran to oxetane and finally to oxirane in the spiro oxygenated heterocycle causes no deviation in an otherwise consistent stereochemical outcome. The ineffectiveness of FMO theory as a tool for explaining this phenomenon is now widely accepted.35 Kahn and Hehre have suggested more recently that electrostatic interactions likely play a major role in dictating the diasterofacial selectivity of Diels-Alder reactions.^{9a}

Houk and co-workers have calculated the energies of all four possible transition states associated with [4+2] cycloadditions to 4,4-disubstituted cyclohexadienones at the PM3 level.7b The two exo transition state options were found as expected to be less stable than their endo counterparts. The most stable alternative involved endo addition syn to the heteroatom. This isomer, which features positioning of the oxygen atom in the interior of the developing adduct in a notably asynchronous manner offers positive electrostatic attraction rather than untoward repulsions. These authors concluded that the observed high levels of stereoselectivity arise from the lower steric demands of oxygen relative to a methylene group.

To investigate this matter further, MO calculations on spiro compounds 1, 2, and their related congeners 28 were performed at the HF/6-31 G* level.³⁶ The LUMO orbitals of dienophiles 1a-c, 2a,b, and 28a-c are illustrated in Figure 2. The size of the lobes of the LUMOs at C3 (and C5) appear to be similar in size with respect to the plane of symmetry (cyclohexadienone ring) and thus cannot directly account for the high selectivity. However, there is another feature to take into consideration. A common feature of the LUMOs is that the orbitals of the σ bonds involving the C4 carbon are all out of phase in relation to the neighboring C3 (or C5) π lobe. Furthermore, the shape of the orbital is also important. The LUMO orbitals associated with C4-CH₂ or C4-CH₃ are more extended in the direction being attacked by the diene than that of the C-X. (X = O, CN, CO₂-CH₃). For dienophiles with high facial selectivity, the difference in size and shape between the two out-of-phase orbitals at C4 happens to be large with the larger of the two out-of-phase orbitals residing opposite to the face that is preferentially attacked. Thus, one explanation for the facial selectivity could be that attack occurs upon the face which has less undesirable secondary orbital interactions. For the series 1a-1c, the size differences in the lobes at C4 do not vary significantly. However, the unfavorable C4 interaction appears to be alleviated as the size of the ring becomes smaller. Although the ineffectiveness of FMO theory as a tool for explaining this phenomenon is now widely accepted, the facial selectivity in these [4+2] cycloaddition can be explained in large part by considering the secondary orbital interaction of the FMO.³⁷

The total electron densities of 1a-c, 2a, 2b, and 28a-c in their respective ground states were evaluated by HF/6-31G* calculations (Figure 3, Supporting Information). For 1a and 2a, the electron cloud over CH₂ of the spiro ring extends slightly more to the direction of the reaction site than that of the oxygen atom. Thus, the electron repulsion buildup between oxaspiro compounds 1a and 2a and π -electrons of the diene during the reaction may be a contributing factor to the π -facial selectivity in the Diels-Alder reaction as described by Houk et al.^{10b} For 1b-c, 2b, and 28a-c, extension of the electron cloud over both sides of the reaction site is universally comparable. The low reactivity of 1b-c, 2b, and 28a-c can also be explained in terms of van der Waals repulsion.

Atomic distances between the proton at C10 of the attacking diene and the closest atom within the X or Y moiety in the transition state should be related to the van der Waals repulsion (Table S2, Supporting Information). The atomic distances in the transition states are shorter than the sum of van der Waals radii of the corresponding atoms, except for those of TS_{1c-9c} , TS_{1c-10c} , $TS_{28b-29b}$, and $TS_{28b-30b}$ (beyond the van der Waals

 ^{(32) (}a) Schultz, A. G.; Macielag, M. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 4983. (b) Schultz,
 A. G.; Lavieri, F. P.; Macielag, M.; Plummer, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987,
 109, 3991. (c) Schultz, A. G.; Harrington, R. E.; Macielag, M.; Mehta, P. G.; Taveras, A. G. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 5482.

⁽³³⁾ Marx, J. N.; Zuerker, J.; Hahn, Y.-S. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 1921

 ⁽³⁴⁾ Danishefsky, S.; Yan, C.-F.; Singh R. K.; Gammill, R. B.; McCurry, P. M.; Fritsch, N.; Clardy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 7001.
 (35) For reviews, consult: (a) Anh, N. T. Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 3227. (b) Reference 15a.

⁽³⁶⁾ The calculations were performed with PC Spartan Pro, Wavefunction, Inc., Irvine, CA 92715.

Huang, X. L.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Duran, M.; Bertrán, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4024. (37)



Figure 2. LUMO's and HOMO's of the dienophiles 1a-c, 2a, 2b, and 28a-c evaluated by HF/6-31G* calculations.

radial distance). In the transition states for the reaction of **2b** to give the adducts **21b** and **22b**; however, the distances are 2.30 Å for **21b** and 2.33 Å for **22b**, respectively, indicating their values to be essentially identical. Thus, the selectivity in the reactions (60/40 in CF₃CH₂OH) of **2b** that cannot be disregarded is better explained in terms of electronic effects rather than steric effects (electron-donating ability: $CH_2-CH_2 > CH_2-CO$). Although the 60/40 selectivity was very small, the electron-donating ability ($CH_2-CH_2 > CH_2-CO$) at C4 position is qualitatively reflected in the selectivity. This explanation is not inconsistent with the Cieplak model (Figure 4).³⁸

Thermodynamic parameters (ΔH° and ΔH^{*}_{calcd}) and dipole moment values (μ) for **1a–c**, **2a,b**, and **28a–c** were determined by ab initio calculations at the HF/6-31G* basis set level (Table 7). The calculated enthalpy values (ΔH°) are consistent with the observed major adducts being thermodynamically more stable than the minor counterparts. The activation enthalpies (ΔH^{*}_{calcd}) were calculated on the basis of the corresponding heats of formation between the transition state and the reactant. These results show the major adducts $9\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$, $21\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}$, 23, 25, and $29\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ to be favored both kinetically and thermodynamically. The differences $(\delta \Delta H_{calcd})$ in the activation enthalpies between the major and minor reactions for $1\mathbf{a}$, $1\mathbf{b}$, $21\mathbf{a}$, and $29\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ are more than 4 kcal/mol, while those for $1\mathbf{c}$ and $21\mathbf{b}$ are 2.76 and 0.60 kcal/mol, respectively. The calculated sense of the Diels–Alder reactions is clearly consistent with that of the observed reactions, although the degree of difference itself ($\delta \Delta H_{calcd}$) varies to some extent.

Wipf et al. pointed out that the major product in the 1,2nucleophilic addition of **1a** and **2a** with Grignard reagents may arise from favorable cancellations of dipole moment within the reactants as shown in Figure 1.^{9c-e} Although the dipole moments of major adducts **9a-c**, **21a,b**, **23**, and **25** and their corresponding TS structures are smaller than those of the minor congeners **10a-c**, **22a,b**, **24**, and **26** and the transition state structures leading to the corresponding products (Table 7, entries 1–14), the dipole moments of the transition states in the Diels–Alder reactions of **28a–28c** with cyclopentadiene are not necessarily consistent with the observed high facial selectivities. Thus, rationalization based upon dipole moment does not appear

^{(38) (}a) Cieplak, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4540. (b) Cieplak, A. S.; Tait, B. D.; Johnson, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8447.



Figure 3. Total electron density in the ground state of dienophile (1a-c, 2a, 2b, and 28a-c at the 6-31G* level.

Table 7. HF/6-31G* Calculations for the Diels-Alder Reaction of 1a-c. 2a-c. and 28a-c

	'	'							
substrate			transition state			product			
entry		μ^a	diene		$\Delta {\it H}^{*}{}_{\rm calcd}{}^{b}$	μ^a	product	$\Delta H^{\circ b}$	μ^a
1	1a	5.45	CP	TS _{1a-9a}	39.53	4.77	9a	-13.29	4.53
2				TS_{1a-10a}	43.53	5.90	10a	-10.69	5.25
3	1b	4.76	CP	TS _{1b-9b}	37.89	4.03	9b	-13.49	3.86
4				TS _{1b-10b}	41.86	5.83	10b	-11.83	3.75
5	1c	3.72	CP	TS _{1c-9c}	38.33	3.10	9c	-13.81	3.08
6				TS_{1c-10c}	41.09	5.14	10c	-13.31	2.65
7	2a	4.17	CP	TS_{2a-21a}	37.75	1.51	21a	-14.51	2.45
8				TS _{2a-22a}	42.05	7.37	22a	-12.05	6.09
9	2a	CH		TS _{2a-23}	45.55	1.66	23	-20.00	1.89
10				TS_{2a-24}	50.10	7.34	24	-18.00	6.50
11	2a	DB		TS_{2a-25}	45.56	1.74	25	-37.42	3.53
12				TS_{2a-26}	50.11	7.32	26	-36.65	4.92
13	2b	3.29	CP	TS _{2b-21b}	44.19	1.62	21b	-8.99	1.41
14				TS_{2b-22b}	44.79	5.11	22b	-8.76	4.00
15	28a	1.42	CP	$TS_{28a-29a}$	43.91	4.66	29a	-8.73	4.17
16				TS28a-30a	47.45	4.55	30a	-8.06	4.03
17	28b	3.63	CP	TS28b-29b	39.79	1.42	29b	-12.53	1.84
18				TS28b-30b	43.59	6.58	30b	-10.56	5.20
19	28c	5.25	CP	TS28c-29c	42.44	4.32	29c	-9.61	3.37
20				TS28c-30c	46.35	3.99	30c	-9.42	2.06

^a dipole moment: D. ^b calculated from heat of formation: kcal/mol.

sufficient because the Diels-Alder reactions are not as polar as the nucleophilic reactions.

Figure 5 illustrates the molecular structures of the Diels-Alder transition states involving dienophiles 1a-c, 2a,b, and 28a-c as evaluated by HF/6-31G* calculations. All of the structures show capability for hyperconjugative interaction between the newly forming C–C bond (σ^* -orbital) (C5–C10) at C10 and the C4-X bond (minor products) or the C4-Y bond



TS for minor products $\sigma\text{-donor ability of C-X and C-Y: } \sigma_{C\text{-}C} > > \sigma_{C\text{-}O}$

Figure 4. Cieplak model for the Diels-Alder reaction.

(major products) at an antiperiplanar position. Selected dihedral angels ($\angle X$ -C4-C5-C10 and $\angle Y$ -C4-C5-C10) involving atoms in anti and syn relationships were compared (Table S3, Supporting Information). The angles for anti-positioned atoms reside in the border region between anti-periplanar and anticlinal while those for syn-positioned atoms are between synperiplanar and syn-clinal. In each example, the dihedral angle of the minor transition state arrived at by α -attack is larger than that by β -attack.

The anti dihedral angles of the transition states for the major Diels-Alder products of 1b and 1c (136.9° for 9b and 111.3° for **9c**, respectively) are smaller than those $(142.1-151.2^{\circ})$ of the other systems (1a, 2a, 28a, 28b, and 28c). Likewise, the syn-periplanar dihedral angle of 1c (44.1° for 9c) is larger than that (28.1-38.2°) of the other systems (1a, 1b, 2a, 28a, 28b, and 28c). Since the most favorable dihedral angle for hyperconjugation is 180°, this structural feature may be one of the factors underlying the somewhat lower stereoselectivity (86/ 16-81/19) of **1b** and **1c** relative to that (92/8-96/4) of the other systems.³⁹ On the other hand, the dihedral angles of **29a,b** (150.6° and 148.6° for the major product and 153.3° and 153.0° for the minor product, respectively) are similar to each other. In the 29a-c subset, the electronic factor may perhaps be more effective in stereoselectivity control relative to the steric factor (anti-periplanarity).

Selected bond lengths (or distances) around the reaction site (C5-C10, C4-X, and C4-Y) in the transition states are summarized in Figure 5 (Table S4, Supporting Information). A comparison of these bond lengths reveals that the anti-bond lengths for both major (C4-Y) and minor (C4-X) products are 0.01–0.02 Å longer than the corresponding syn-bonds lengths (C4-X and C4-Y, respectively), suggesting effective orbital interaction of these anti-C-C bonds with the newly forming C5-C10 bonds. The C5-C10 distances in the major products are 0.03-0.07 Å shorter than those of the minor products except for the case of TS_{2b-21b} and TS_{2b-22b} transition states where selectivity was low. The shortening of the newly forming bonds may partially contribute to the stabilization of the transition state for the major product relative to that of the minor product. From a stereoelectronic point of view, the shortening of the C5-C10 distance peculiar to the transition states for the major adducts may be attributed to the larger electron-donating ability of the C-C bond relative to the C-O bond $(\sigma_{C-C} > \sigma_{C-O})^{39}$ On the other hand, from a structural point of view, the lengthening of the C5-C10 distance in the minor products compared with the major products by 0.03-0.07 Å may be attributed to the steric repulsion between the dienophile and the diene in the transition state. In the case of TS_{2b-21b} and TS_{2b-22b} , the steric environments around the

⁽³⁹⁾ Li, H.; Silver, J. E.; Watson, W. H.; Kashyap, R. R.; le Noble, W. J. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 5932.



 $TS_{28b-29b}$ for 29b





Figure 5. Molecular structures of the Diels-Alder transition state evaluated by HF/6-31G* calculations.



Figure 6. Solvent effects in Diels-Alder reaction of 1a, and 2a with CP.

reaction sites are virtually the same. Consequently, the observed stereoselectivity can be considered to result from stereoelectronic factors (difference between $-CH_2CO$ and $-CH_2CH_2$ at the *anti*position) rather than steric repulsion.

According to the calculated dipole moments compiled in Table 7, solvent effects in the Diels-Alder reaction of 1a and 2a with cyclopentadiene can be explained in terms of the differences in solvation between the ground and transition states (the rate-determining and the product-determining steps) as illustrated in Figure 6. The dipole moments (μ) of the stable conformers of 1a and 2a in the ground state are 5.45 and 4.17 D, respectively, while those of the transition states (TS_{1a-9a} and TS_{2a-21a}) leading to products 9a and 21a are 4.77 and 1.51 D, respectively. From the dipole moment of TS_{2a-21a} , especially, it can be anticipated that cycloaddition will proceed less readily in a more polar solvent such as CH₃CN relative to CH₂Cl₂, since CH₃CN should stabilize the ground state of dienophile 2a by solvation more than CH₂Cl₂. In fact, the rates of 2a in CH₂Cl₂ are faster than those in polar CH₃CN. Furthermore, the higher stereoselectivity in CH₂Cl₂ compared with CH₃CN can also be rationalized in terms of transition state dipole moments. The transition state dipole moments are $\mu = 4.77$ D for TS_{1a-9a} (major product) and 5.91 D for TS_{1a-10a} (minor product), and $\mu = 1.51$ D for TS_{2a-21a} (major product) and 7.37 D for TS_{2a-22a} (minor product). The adduct arising from the less polar TS predominates and is expected to be favored more in the less polar CH₂Cl₂ relative to CH₃CN (Figure 6). On the other hand, the rate acceleration observed in reactions involving CF_3CH_2 -OH can be rationalized in terms of the protic solvent behaving as a Brønsted acid to activate the dienophile **1a** and **2a** or to stabilize the transition state rather than ground state. This explanation is not inconsistent with the activation parameters of the reactions performed in CF_3CH_2OH .

Conclusion. The diastereofacial selectivity operating in the Diels-Alder additions involving spirocyclic cross-conjugated cyclohexadienones with dienes of varying reactivity has been investigated. The study has included the ether series 1a-c as well as the lactone/ketone pair 2a/2b. In all cases, the preferred [4+2] cycloaddition pathway consisted of bonding from that π -surface syn to the oxygen atom. 4-Substituted-4-methyl-2,5cyclohexadienones **28a-c** (monocyclic systems) were also examined and found to undergo bond formation preferentially from the face bearing the more electron-withdrawing of the two groups at the 4 position. The facial selectivity is understandable in terms of seconary orbital interactions between the HOMO of diene and the LUMO of dienophile and the orbital interactions (effective hyperconjugation) between the newly forming bond and the higher 4-anti-C-C4 σ -orbital (the more electrondonating bond) relative to the counterpart 4-anti-substituent-C4 σ -orbital in the transition state.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Dr. Yoshikazu Hiraga, Hiroshima Prefectural Institute of Science and Technology, for some of the 500 MHz NMR measurements and to F-TECH, Inc. for a gift of trifluoroethanol. Partial financial support of this work was provided through a Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 11740355 and No. 14740349) provided by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and a partial scholarship (to B.B.S.) from the Undergraduate Honors Program at OSU.

Supporting Information Available: General experimental, spectroscopic characterization of all adducts, crystallographic data for **14b,c**, **21a**, **23**, and **27** (including Table S1), Figures S1 and S2, as well as relevant NOE of **9a**, **10a**, **21a,b**, **22a,b**, **23**, **29a,b**, and **30a,b**, and last Tables S2, S3, and S4. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:pubs.acs.org.⁴⁰

JA047027T

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Crystallographic data for structures in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center; **21a**: CCDC-193077, **23**: CCDC-193078; **27**: CCDC-193079. For a discussion of the structures of **14b** and **14c**, consult Gallucci, J. C.; Tamura, Y.; Paquette, L. A. Acta Cryst. **2004**, *C60*, 656.